

# Information and memory for future decision making – Radioactive waste and beyond

## Theme 3 – Role of actors vis-à-vis the future

### Topics / Questions

- 1) Who has and should have the responsibility for preservation of Records, Knowledge & Memory and communication with future generations about legacy waste now and further on?
  - What are the roles, intentions and drivers of different actors today and how will this change over time?
  - Are the same arguments and principles as for the responsibility to find a final waste disposal solution relevant and can they be applied even in this part?
  - Should the RK&M responsibility lie with the implementer/waste disposal organisation, the permit holder, the regulator, the land owner, the National Archives, the society/the Government/the State or anyone else?
  
- 2) Are all the significant actors concerning both radioactive waste and other hazardous legacy waste included and participating in the preparations for preservation of Records, Knowledge & Memory for the future?
  - Which are the most crucial actors now, until repository/facility closure and after?
  - Are there others that could preferably be added and involved?
  - Are there among the already engaged anybody that ought to become more involved and active?
  - How to engage and involve missing actors of importance?
  - How to move from investigations, frameworks, recommendations and guidelines to action?
  - What can be done to keep the interest, drivers and duties and to make them persistent when necessary or desirable?
  
- 3) Acceptance and participation from the host communities seems crucial in order to achieve sustainable legacy waste disposal solutions. Do we agree with the statement? In what way are they crucial?
  - The host community message is clear, at this stage, that they will not accept any future burdens or responsibilities caused by the legacy waste. Is this a position that is bound to evolve?
  - What can and should be done to involve and engage the local community in the duty of keeping and preservation of Records, Knowledge & Memory over time?
  - What local institutions, organisations, groups or instruments could contribute; on what premises and for what tasks and role?
  - Could there be reasons to keep parts of a facility as a durable marker, as a part of the local history and as a memory instead of restoring the area as it was before?